	WENTAL PROTECTION	
No.	Ner N	
FL	ORIDA	
1		

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	L (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPL		(CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0112428 DATE: <u>1/18</u>	/2013	ARRIVE: <u>1000</u>		DEPART: 1	1230	
FACILITY NAME: SUPERMIX-	EAST BROWARD					
FACILITY LOCATION: 35	575 SW 49TH WAY					
D	AVIE 33314-2123					
		NK PEREZ*	Mobile: (PHONE: ((305)262-3250 (305)525-2282 (305)262-3250 (305)525-2282	1	
Facility Section						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPL	IANCE STATUS (che	eck 🗹 only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE] MINOR Non-COMPI	LIANCE SIG	INIFICANT N	Non-COMPLL	ANCE	
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCT	ORY MEETING				•	only one
1. Name(s) of facility representativ	e(s):			ł	box for each	question)
Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Representative If no, who is?:	still FRANK PEREZ*	?			🛛 Yes	No
If different, did the facility provi 3. Is the facility contact still FRAN If no, who is?:					Yes Yes	□No □No

Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	

<u>1 – CCB Plant #5 West-N silo(cement)w/silotop baghouse,800 bbl subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
 Date of last inspection: <u>3/22/12</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes No If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes No b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes No c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 02-290.414(2), F.A.C.</u>			
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>			
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 			

 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary control emissions?		🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🕅 Yes	□ No
 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment particulate matter from stock piles? 	t of	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck	? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No

2 - CCB Plant #5 West-W silo(cement)w/dustcollector,55T subject to Reasonable Preca	utions
---	--------

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
 PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u> Date of last inspection: <u>3/22/2013</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes 	□ No □ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
I 	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
 <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u> 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	No
control emissions? Yes	No No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? X Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Xes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes	∐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

<u>3 – CCB Plant #5 West-E silo(cementsupplmnt)w/dustcollector,55T subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION				
 Date of last inspection: <u>3/22/2012</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.]		
 <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u> 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 				
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No		
control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No		

b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- 🛛 Yes

a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ------ Yes b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ----- Yes

2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

No No

No
 No

<u>4 – CCB Plant #4 East-N silo(cementsupplement)w/baghouse,800 bbl subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION				
 Date of last inspection: <u>3/22/2012</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? IN/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🔲 Yes	□ No □ No □ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.				
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>				
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:	ned			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	Yes			
 control emissions?		∐ No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	□ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	· Xes	D No		

2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	🗌 No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	🗌 No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

6 - CCB Plant #4 East-S silo(cement supplement)w/baghouse, 55T subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION				
 Date of last inspection: <u>3/22/2012</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes	□ No □ No □ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and				
 Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 				
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fo 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	Yes Yes			
 control emissions?	⊠ Yes	∐ No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of				

particulate matter from stock piles? ------ Yes

b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- 🛛 Yes

a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ------ Yes b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ------ Yes

2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

h	-		
		۱	

No No

No No

No
 No

7 -CCB Plant #4 East-weigh hopr/trckloadoutw/cent.dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
 Date of last inspection: <u>3/22/2012</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? ∑ Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ∑ Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ∑ N/A ∑ Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	□ No □ No □ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
 <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u> 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	No		
control emissions? Yes 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	∐ No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Xes	□ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No		

2.	If reasonable precautions not being taken:		
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	🗌 No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	🗌 No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

8 – CCB Plant #5 West-weigh hopr/trk loadout w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable F	Precautions	5
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>		
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No □ No

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the 	No No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	No No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	No No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? 		
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	- 🗌 Yes	D No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception) 	n of	
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		🖾 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 		 □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal propaga275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propaga)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consume for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		
 a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		⊠ No □ No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces	- Xes	□ No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the follow</i>)	box for each	•
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? - c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900	Ves	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation		🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation p and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	ermit,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usa, If YES, what was the purpose?	ge)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)

A	uninistiative Changes.	
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not	
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or	
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? 🗌 Yes	No No
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
	a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes	🖾 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? [] Yes	🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? 🗌 Yes	🖂 No
	d. A change in ownership? 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	
	30 days prior to the change? Yes	No No

C.Pitters

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

1/18/2013

Date of Inspection

1/18/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: